

Engineer's Specifications

# TABLE OF CONTENTS













8" Connector 42.9% Plastic 57.1% Throughput

8" Connector 42.9% Plastic 57.1% Throughput







2



2000 T















2000 T





10

# EZ FORM INTERACTION DIAGRAM CALCULATION:

### REINFORCING STEEL AT WALL CENTERLINE





 $N := kg \cdot 9.80665$  $kN := N \cdot 10^3$  $Pa := N/m^2$  $MPa := Pa \cdot 10^6$ 

Input Variables:



 $b := 1000.0 \cdot mm$ 

Wall width used for design

Input Units:

#### STRENGTH UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION:

$$
Ag := b \cdot t
$$
  
Ag = 1.25 x 10<sup>5</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>  
Pro:= 0.85 · φc · fc · (Ag - As) MPa + φs · fy · MPa · As  
Pro = 1.357 x 10<sup>3</sup> kN

## STRENGTH UNDER PURE BENDING:

Reinforcing placed at wail centreline



Taking moments about tension steel

 Mro := 0.85·φc·fc·MPa·b·0.85·soln·m·(d - 0.85·(soln·m)/2) Mro =  $4.958$  kN  $\cdot$  m

#### STRENGTH UNDER BALANCED STRAIN CONDITIONS;

$$
\epsilon y := f y / Es
$$
  
\n $\epsilon y = 2 \times 10^{-3}$   
\n $xb := d \cdot (0.003 / (ey + 0.003))$   
\n $xb = 37.5 \text{ mm}$   
\n $a := 0.85 \cdot xb$   
\n $a := 31.875 \text{ mm}$   
\n $Cc := 0.85 \cdot \phi c \cdot fc \cdot a \cdot b \cdot MPa$   
\n $Cc = 325.125 kN$   
\n $T := 0.85 \cdot As \cdot fy \cdot MPa$   
\n $T = 85 kN$   
\n $Prb := Cc - T$   
\n $Prb = 240.125 kN$ 

Determine location of plastic centroid:

 $C1 := b \cdot t \cdot 0.85 \cdot fc \cdot MPa$  $C1 = 2.125 \times 10^3$  kN  $Cs$ ;= AS  $\cdot$  0.85  $\cdot$  fy  $\cdot$  MPa  $Cs = 85$  kN  $Pn:= C1 + Cs$  $Pn = 2.21 \times 10^3$  kN

Moments along inside face of wall, distance to plastic centroid:

$$
x: (C1 \cdot (t/2) + Cs \cdot (t/2)) / Pn
$$

$$
x = 62.5 mm from inside face
$$

Momemts about plastic centroid:

Mrb :=  $Cc \cdot ((t/2) - (a/2))$  $Mrb = 15.139 kN \cdot m$ 

## EZ FORM INTERACTION DIAGRAM CALCULATION: REINFORCING STEEL AT WALL CENTERLINE

Wall width used for design

 $b := 1000.0 \cdot mm$ 

Input Units:

 $N := kg \cdot 9.80665$  $kN := N \cdot 10^3$  $Pa := N / m^2$ MPa :=  $Pa \cdot 10^6$ 

Input Variables:



 concrete cover co := (20 · mm + (φs/2))  $co = 27.5$  mm  $d := t - co$  $d = 222.5$  mm

Material Properties and Constants:



### STRENGTH UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION:

$$
Ag := b \cdot t
$$
  
Ag = 2.5 x 10<sup>5</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>  
Pro := 0.85 ·  $\phi c \cdot fc \cdot (Ag - As) MPa + \phi s \cdot fy \cdot MPa \cdot As$   
Pro = 2.715 x 10<sup>3</sup> kN

## STRENGTH UNDER PURE BENDING:



Taking moments about tension steel

 Mro := 0.85·φc·fc·MPa·b·0.85soln·m(d - 0.85·(soln·m)/2) Mro =  $36.408$  kN  $\cdot$  m

#### STRENGTH UNDER BALANCED STRAIN CONDITIONS:

$$
ey := (fy / Es)
$$
  
\n
$$
ey = 2 \times 10^{-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
xb := d \cdot (0.003 / (ey + 0.003))
$$
  
\n
$$
xb = 133.5 \text{ mm}
$$
  
\n
$$
a := 0.85 \cdot xb
$$
  
\n
$$
a = 133.5 \text{ mm}
$$
  
\n
$$
Cc := 0.85 \cdot \phi c \cdot fc \cdot a \cdot b \cdot MPa
$$
  
\n
$$
Cc = 1.157 \times 10^{3} \text{ kN}
$$
  
\n
$$
T := 0.85 \cdot As \cdot fy \cdot MPa
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 170 \text{ kN}
$$
  
\n
$$
Prb := Cc - T
$$
  
\n
$$
Prb = 987.445 \text{ kN}
$$

Determine location of plastic centroid

 $C1 := b \cdot t \cdot 0.85 \cdot fc \cdot MPa$  $C1 = 4.25 \times 10^{3 kN}$  $Cs := As \cdot 0.85 \cdot fy \cdot MPa$  $Cs = 170$  kN  $Pn := C1 + Cs$  $Pn = 4.42 \times 10^3$  kN

Moments along inside face of wall, distance to plastic centroid:

$$
x := (C1 \cdot (t / 2) + Cs \cdot co) / Pn
$$
  

$$
x = 121.25 \text{ mm}
$$
 from inside face

Moments about plastic centroid

Mrb :=  $Cc$   $[(t/2)-(a/2))+(t/2)-X]$  +  $T$   $[(t/2)-co - ((t/2)-X)]$  $Mrb = 99.288$  kN  $\cdot$  m

# *300 mm* (*12"*) EZ FORM LINTEL SCHEDULE 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 ALLOWABLE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD kN/m



1 Actual concrete wall thickness

 $t = 135$ mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 223mm (8.8").

t =162mm (6.37"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 254mm (10").

t =135mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 135mm (5.3").

 $2$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed loads.

 $3$  Above table columns labeled with stirrups, indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of single leg 6mm stirrups at spacing of 125 mm.

<sup>4</sup> This table is based on concrete f c= 20Mpa (2900 psi) and fy=400 Mpa (58,000psi).

<sup>5</sup> Reinforcement shown is based on least area of steel for strength requirements in accordance with CAN3-A23.3-M84. Other combinations of bar size and spacing which provide equivalent area of steel per foot of wall, may be substituted.

 $6$  Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered including wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which requires analysis by a qualified engineer.  $^7$  These tables are intended to indicate lintel span capabilities only. Final design requirements are the responsibility of the design engineer.

# 18 450 mm (18") EZ FORM LINTEL SCHEDULE 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 ALLOWABLE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD kN/m



1 Actual concrete wall thickness

 $t = 135$ mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 223mm (8.8").

t =162mm (6.37"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 254mm (10").

t =135mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 135mm (5.3").

 $2$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed loads.

 $3$  Above table columns labeled with stirrups, indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of single leg 6mm stirrups at spacing of 200 mm.

<sup>4</sup> This table is based on concrete f c= 20Mpa (2900 psi) and fy=400 Mpa (58,000psi).

<sup>5</sup> Reinforcement shown is based on least area of steel for strength requirements in accordance with CAN3-A23.3-M84. Other combinations of bar size and spacing which provide equivalent area of steel per foot of wall, may be substituted.

 $6$  Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered including wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which requires analysis by a qualified engineer.  $^7$  These tables are intended to indicate lintel span capabilities only. Final design requirements are the responsibility of the design engineer.

# *600 mm* (*24"*) EZ FORM LINTEL SCHEDULE 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 ALLOWABLE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD kN/m



1 Actual concrete wall thickness

 $t = 135$ mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 223mm (8.8").

t =162mm (6.37"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 254mm (10").

t =135mm (5.3"), overall EZ Form wall thickness 135mm (5.3").

 $2$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed loads.

 $3$  Above table columns labeled with stirrups, indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of single leg 6mm stirrups at spacing of 280 mm.

4 This table is based on concrete f c= 20Mpa (2900 psi) and fy=400 Mpa (58,000psi).

<sup>5</sup> Reinforcement shown is based on least area of steel for strength requirements in accordance with CAN3-A23.3-M84. Other combinations of bar size and spacing which provide equivalent area of steel per foot of wall, may be substituted.

 $6$  Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered including wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which requires analysis by a qualified engineer.  $^7$  These tables are intended to indicate lintel span capabilities only. Final design requirements are the responsi-

bility of the design engineer.

## $20$ LINTEL TESTING PROVES CODES EXCESSIVE Research, Results, & Resources: HOMEBASE News

### CONCRETE LINTEL TESTING PROVES EXISTING CODES CONSERVATIVE FOR ICFs

Recent NAHB Research Center tests on concrete lintels consisting of insulating Concrete Form (ICF Systems found that current code requirements regarding shear reinforcement are conservative. Shear reinforcements steel bars placed vertically in a concrete beam - are difficult to install and provide little value in terms of lintel performance. Tensile, or bending, reinforcement must only be placed horizontally in the bottom of concrete lintels. Recommendations based on these recent tests include: (1) modification of span tables in the Prescriptive Method for ICFs; (2) elimination of shear reinforcement in spans up to 12 feet; and (3) reduction of the minimum tensile steel requirements. With code revisions, the use of concrete lintels without shear reinforcement and with minimal tensile reinforcement will lead to more practical and cost effective ICF construction.

ICFs are typically constructed of rigid foam plastic insulation, a composite of cement and foam insulation, or a composite of cement and wood chips. This type of system is inherently strong, monolithic, energy-efficient, quiet, and resistant to damage caused by termites and moisture. Builders who use ICFs tout their marketability due to these benefits over conventional wood-frame construction. There is generally a five to percent premium in the sales price of a home constructed with ICFs.

Current relevant standards for ICFs, such as the American Concrete Institute (ACI) 318-95, are typically based on tests involving large and complex commercial and high-rise residential structures. Therefore, applying these requirements to low-rise one- and two-family dwellings results in over-design and increased construction costs. More specifically, in residential applications, the prescribed minimum tensile steel reinforcing requirements in ACI 318-95 are overly-conservative for the low-loading conditions of an average residential building.

The 12-foot long ICF lintels included three design types-flat, waffle-grid, and screen-grid-and were all subjected to loading tests to determine shear and bending strength. A previous Research Center study (May 1998) that employed the same test conditions concluded that shear reinforcement was not necessary for ICF lintels spanning up to four feet, which are commonly used over door and window openings. This new study found that the same is true for longer spans used on openings such as those for garage doors.

 In terms of system failure, bending failure is preferable to shear failure in that bending is a more gradual process, which allows for warning and repair; shear failure occurs suddenly and poses more risk of inhabitant injury. All longer span lintels experienced yielding of the tensile reinforcement before failure. Also under this type of loading, all but the flat wall design ultimately experienced shear failure. However, this failure occurred well into the yielding of the tensile reinforcement and after the maximum bending moment was exceeded. In every case, the maximum tested bending moment of the longer span ICF lintels without shear reinforcement exceeded the ACI 318-95 predictions.

The final report, "Lintel Testing for Reduced Shear Reinforcement in Insulating Concrete Form Systems," will be complete and ready for distribution by August 1999. To receive a copy or for more information on ICF construction, visit the NAHB Research Center's web site at www.nahbrc.org or call the HOMEBASE Hotline at (800) 898-2842.

http://www.nahbrc.org/ToolBase/rrr/newslttr/LINTEL.htm 15/10/2000

# Lintel Testing for Reduced Shear Reinforcement in **Insulating Concrete Form Systems**

**Results** 

 The responses of all ICF lintel specimens to the third-point loading are shown in Table 4. The calculated ultimate load is based on the shear capacity of the section based on the ACI Equation (11-3). All of the specimens out performed the calculated ultimate.





For SI: 1 foot = 0.3048 m; 1 inch = 25.4 mm; 1 lb = 4.45 N.

\*Ultimate load calculations are based on the ACI Equation (11-3).

† A tested value of 16,750 Ib was recorded. Premature failure was experienced due to the severe honeycombing caused by the two-#5 rebar which restricted the flow of the concrete into the bottom of the form.

<sup>‡</sup>ACI 318-95 does not provide a method to analyze beam cross sections with voids.

### Flat Specimens

The ACI code under predicted the capacity of the flat specimens. 'The tested ultimate for the narrow sections was at least two times that of the predicted capacity in all cases. Failure of the flat specimens was due to tensile stresses induced in the beam by shearing forces that caused cracking inclined at 45° to the horizontal (Figure 6). Cracking also occurred between the form ties. This cracking occurred late in the testing.

## 22 ALLOWABLE SUPERIMPOSED UNIFORM LOADS ON 12" DEEP EZ FORM LINTEL (plf)<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>



<sup>1</sup>This Table is based on Concrete f<sub>c</sub> = 2,000 psi and F<sub>y</sub> = 40,000 psi (#3 & #4 bars), F<sub>y</sub> = 60,000 psi (#5 bars & larger)

 $^{\rm 2}$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed working stress loads (plf). Calculations utilized Ultimate Strength Design with a combined load factor =  $1.65$ 

 $3$  Columns labelled "With" indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of #3 stirrups at d/2 spacing throughout lintel span. (spacing = *5"* for *12"* deep lintels)

4 Columns labelled "Without" indicate capacity With no shear reinforcing.

<sup>5</sup> Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered, wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which require analysis by a qualified engineer.

 $6$  These tables are intended to indicate standard concrete lintel capabilities only. No reductions in shear values have been made for EZ Form web connectors and shall be considered at the discretion of the designer. Final wall design requirements are the responsibility of the building designer. The maximum load considered for this lintel is *2000 plf.*

# ALLOWABLE SUPERIMPOSED UNIFORM LOADS ON **16"** DEEP EZ FORM LINTEL (plf)<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>



<sup>1</sup>This Table is based on Concrete f<sub>c</sub> = 2,000 psi and F<sub>y</sub> = 40,000 psi (#3 & #4 bars), F<sub>y</sub> = 60,000 psi (#5 bars & larger)

 $^{\rm 2}$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed working stress loads (plf). Calculations utilized Ultimate Strength Design with a combined load factor =  $1.65$ 

 $3$  Columns labelled "With" indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of #3 stirrups at d/2 spacing throughout lintel span. (spacing = *7"* for *16"* deep lintels)

4 Columns labelled "Without" indicate capacity With no shear reinforcing.

<sup>5</sup> Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered, wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which require analysis by a qualified engineer.

 $6$  These tables are intended to indicate standard concrete lintel capabilities only. No reductions in shear values have been made for EZ Form web connectors and shall be considered at the discretion of the designer. Final wall design requirements are the responsibility of the building designer. The maximum load considered for this lintel is *3000 plf.*

# 24 TABLE 11 - ALLOWABLE SUPERIMPOSED UNIFORM LOADS ON **24"** DEEP EZ FORM LINTEL (plf)<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>



<sup>1</sup>This Table is based on Concrete f<sub>c</sub> = 2,000 psi and F<sub>y</sub> = 40,000 psi (#3 & #4 bars), F<sub>y</sub> = 60,000 psi (#5 bars & larger)

 $^{\rm 2}$  Lintel capacities shown are allowable superimposed uniformly distributed working stress loads (plf). Calculations utilized Ultimate Strength Design with a combined load factor =  $1.65$ 

 $3$  Columns labelled "With" indicate capacity with shear reinforcing consisting of #3 stirrups at d/2 spacing throughout lintel span. (spacing = *11"* for *24"* deep lintels)

4 Columns labelled "Without" indicate capacity With no shear reinforcing.

<sup>5</sup> Capacity shown considers only vertical gravity loads. Other loading conditions may have to be considered, wind, earthquake, axial compression or tension, etc. which require analysis by a qualified engineer.

 $6$  These tables are intended to indicate standard concrete lintel capabilities only. No reductions in shear values have been made for EZ Form web connectors and shall be considered at the discretion of the designer. Final wall design requirements are the responsibility of the building designer. The maximum load considered for this lintel is *4000 plf.*

#### EZ FORM LINTEL REINFORCING CALCULATION:

EZ form lintel schedules are used in construction of concrete walls where desired openings create the requirement for additional reinforcing of the lintel section.

This application determines the allowable uniformly distributed load that can be placed on a selected lintel section, with or without stirrup reinforcing.

The required input for this application includes the strength of concrete and reinforcement, height of lintel and thickness of concrete. The allowable uniformly distributed load calculated is reduced for the weight of the concrete lintel.

Reinforcing bar number designations, diameters and areas

 $No<sub>5</sub>$  $d_b := 0.625 \cdot in$   $A_5 := 0.31 \cdot in^2$ Av  $:= 0.22 \cdot in^2$ 

Input Variables

- Lintel overall depth Wall Thickness  $h := 16 \cdot in$  $b := 7.625 \cdot in$
- Length of lintel span

Units:

kip :=  $lb \cdot 1000$ 

 $L := 10 \cdot ft$ 

 $\text{ksi} := (\text{lb} \cdot 1000) / (\text{ft}^2)$ 

Material Properties and Constants



Effective depth of reinforcing d := h - (1.50 $\cdot$ in + 0.375 $\cdot$ in + d $_{\rm b}/2$ )  $d = 13.81$  in







by moment capacity or shear strength will govern.

# **Outline Specifications For EZ Concrete Formwork:**

- EZ Concrete Forming system has been designed in accordance with requirements of  $1.$ CAN/CSA-S269.3 M92. "Concrete Formwork"
- $2.$ Design Capacity of EZ Concrete wall system is 57 kPa, based on a Rate of Concrete Placement of 3.0 m/hour at a concrete temperature of 20 (degrees) C.
- 3. Concrete formwork to be installed in accordance with EZ Concrete Forming assembly instructions.
- 4. Concrete and reinforcing steel placement to be in accordance with the building design structural engineer's specifications.
- 5. EZ Concrete wall system formwork installation shall be supervised by a qualified supervisor experienced in the construction of temporary support structures and the use of EZ Concrete
- 6. Bracing and lateral support structural details necessary to maintain lateral stability and resist sideways and racking shall be designed and specified by the building design structural engineer.
- 7. The structural engineer for the building design shall be responsible for al field designs, details and changes including the effect they may have on the original design. Field designs and changes must be documented and must be available at the site before and during placement of concrete or other significant loading of the formwork or falsework.

### Tests and Reports (available upon request)

ITS - Pilot Fire Test ITS - Flame Spread Test ITS - Water Tightness Test ITS - Flammability Test ITS - Ignition Temperature Test ITS - Thermal Analysis ITS - UBC Section 802.1 Chemical Resistance of PVC Behavior of PVC Encased Concrete Walls

Vinyl Test - UL Yellow Card Physical Properties of PVC Elements Bending Moment Interaction Diagram Painting and Staining of Vinyl Adhesive Selection Guide



# EZ Concrete Forming Systems Ltd.

9 Semana Crescent Vancouver, B.C. V6N 2E1 Canada

p: 604.733.2597 f: 604.733.2545 c: 604.780.1702

